THE REACTION OF ALDRIN, PHOTOALDRIN AND ISODRIN WITH PHTHALOYL PEROXIDE

C. W. BIRD

Department of Chemistry, Queen Elizabeth College, Campden Hill, London W8 7AH

(Received in UK 13 July 1979)

Abstract—The reactions of aldrin and photoaldrin with phthaloyl peroxide in carbon tetrachloride provided the respective carbon tetrachloride adducts, epoxides and cyclic phthalates of the corresponding cis-diols.

Under the same conditions isodrin yielded the half-cage ketone (14), the cyclic phthalate (17) and the novel half-cage adduct (15).

The syn-hydroxy derivatives (1 and 2) are important mammalian metabolites of the insecticides dieldrin¹ and endrin² respectively. While these compounds have been synthesised³ in admixture from hexachlorocyclopentadiene and 7-benzoyloxynorbornadiene, a more selective route would be of interest. An alternative approach was suggested by the reported⁴ reaction of norbornene with phthaloyl peroxide in carbon tetrachloride which provided the cyclic phthalates (3 and 4) in addition to a norbornene-carbon tetrachloride adduct.

Treatment of aldrin (5) with an equimolar quantity of phthaloyl peroxide in refluxing carbon tetrachloride yielded the known⁵ adduct (6) and small amounts of dieldrin (7) and the cyclic phthalate (8). The formulation of the latter compound as 8 rather than 9 followed from the 'H NMR spectrum. This showed, inter alia, singlets at 8 2.49 and 2.82 for H₃, H₄ and H₂, H₇ respectively as well as two doublets, J = 13.5 Hz, at 8 2.06 for H_{120} and 1.6 for H_{12a}, with the latter clearly involved in W-type coupling, $J = 1.8 \,\text{Hz}$ with $H_4 \, H_5$ at 8 4.01. Analogously, phthaloyl peroxide in carbon tetrachloride converted photoaldrin (10) into photodieldrin (11), an inseparable mixture of carbon tetrachloride adducts and the cyclic phthalate (12). The structure of 12 follows from the observance of H_5 and H_4 as doublets, J = 5.6 Hz, at 8 4.67 and 4.9 in the ¹H NMR spectrum.

Three products, identified as 14, 15 and 17, were isolated from the reaction of isodrin (13) with phthaloyl peroxide in carbon tetrachloride trichloromethane. The half-cage ketone (14) presumably resulted from rearrangement of endrin (16), which is known^{6,7} to proceed with facility. In addition to the characteristic IR band at 1600 cm⁻¹ for the 1.2-dichloroethylene moiety the phthalate (17) displayed two CO bands at 1740 and 1760 cm⁻¹. This was reminiscent of the behaviour of the rearranged phthalate (4), which showed4 two bands at 1737 and 1712 cm-1, whereas the phthalates (3, 8 and 9) exhibited a single band ca 1730 cm⁻¹. However, analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 17 aided by spin-decoupling fully confirmed the assigned structure. In particular H4, H5 were observed as a doublet at 8 4.19 W-coupled, J = 2 Hz, to H_{12e} which was also coupled, $J = 11.4 \,\text{Hz}$ to the geminal H_{120} . The remaining compound 15 was also obtained if isodrin was reacted with carbon tetrachloride in the presence of an equimolar quantity of dibenzoyl peroxide. The IR spectrum showed a band at 1650 cm⁻¹ characteristic⁵ of a 1,1-dichloroethylene group, and the ¹³C NMR spectrum revealed the presence of thirteen different C atoms of which six did not bear hydrogens and the two of these at δ 113.6 and 142.4 could be assigned as olefinic carbons. The ¹H NMR spectrum was not amenable to detailed analysis but doublets for two geminal protons at δ 1.58 and 1.77, J = 11.4 Hz, could be discerned along with a

singlet at 8 5.29 in the region characteristic of CHCL

Consideration of this data in the light of possible modes of formation (vide infra) suggested structure 15 for this compound. Attempts to provide a chemical confirmation for this deduction by oxidising 15-14 using methods employed in a less sterically encumbered situation were unsuccessful.

Clearly the initial step in the formation of 15 is addition of a trichloromethyl radical to isodrin forming the radical 18. Transamular bond formation, as noted in the addition of p-chlorobenzenethiol to isodrin, followed by intramolecular hydrogen abstraction would generate the radical 19, which by chlorine atom ejection or abstraction could provide 15. Alternatively, chlorine abstraction or expulsion from 18 could lead to the cyclopropane derivative 20, a process which has some precedent. The subsequent rearrangement of 20-15 would presumably follow the same pathway as the analogous rearrangements of the corresponding epoxide. and aziridines. So far efforts to distinguish between these alternative pathways have been impeded by our inability to effect an independent synthesis of 20 from 13.

EXPERIMENTAL

IR spectra were recorded for Nujol mulls on a Unicam SP 200 spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 90 MHz by the U.L.I.R.S., and the ¹³C NMR spectrum at 15.06 MHz on a Bruker WP 60 spectrometer. In both cases spectra were recorded for CDCl₃ solutions with internal TMS.

Reaction of aldrin with phthaloyl peroxide

Aldrin (2.3 g) and phthaloyl peroxide ¹² (1 g) in CCl₄ (100 ml) were heated under reflux for 40 hr. The cooled soln was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with CCl₄ gave successively the known adduct⁵ 7, (1.5 g) from MeOH identical with an authentic sample, and 6 (0.12 g) from MeOH. Subsequent elution with benzene provided 8 (0.15 g), m.p. 319-323° (dec) from CHCl₃-MeOH. (Found: C, 45.1; H, 2.25. Calc. for $C_{28}H_{12}Cl_8O_4$: C, 45.4; H, 2.27%; IR (Nujol) 1725, 1600 cm⁻¹; NMR 8 1.6 (br. d, H_{12m}, J_{12m,12g} = 13.5 Hz), 2.06 (d, H_{12g}), 2.49 (s, H₃ and H₆), 2.82 (s, H₂ and H₇), 4.01 (d, H₄ and H₅, J_{4-5,12e} = 1.8 Hz) 7.65 (a, 4 H, ArH).

Reaction of photoaldrin with phthaloyl peroxide

Photoaldrin¹³ (3.65 g) and phthaloyl peroxide (1.6 g) in CCl₄ (150 ml) were reflexed for 40 hr. Chromatography of the resulting soln on silica get in CCl₄ gave an inseparable mixture of the

536 C. W. BIRD

CCl₄-adducts (2 g) m.p. 160–205° from CHCl₃-MeOH (Found: C, 29.9; H, 1.52. Calc. for $C_{13}H_8Cl_{10}$: C, 30.1; H, 1.55%). Elution with benzene gave successively 11¹³ (0.9 g) and 12 (0.3 g) m.p. 288–290 (dec) from CHCl₃-MeOH. (Found: C, 45.7; H, 2.25. Calc. for $C_{20}H_{12}Cl_4O_4$: C, 45.4; H, 2.27%); IR (Nujol) 1730 cm⁻¹; NMR 8 2.53 (dd, H₇, J_{7,8} = 6.2 Hz, J_{2,7} = 2.6 Hz), 2.91 (ddd, H₈, J_{2,8} 2.6 Hz J_{3,8} = 1.5 Hz), 3.25 (br. s, H₄), 3.34 (br. s., H₃), 3.45 (t, H₂), 4.67 (d, H₅, J_{4,5} = 5.6 Hz), 4.9 (d, H₄), 4.88 (s, H₁₂), 7.67 (s, 4 H, ArH).

Reaction of isodrin with phthaloyl peroxide

Isodrin (3.65 g) and phthaloyl peroxide (1.6 g) in CCl₄ (150 ml) were heated under reflux for 40 hr. The resulting mixture was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with CCl₄ gave the half-cage adduct 15 (0.99 g), m.p. 174–175° from MeOH. (Found: C, 34.7; H, 1.71. Calc. for C₁₃H₂Cl₄: C, 34.8; H, 1.79%); IR (Nujol 1650 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.58 (bt. d., H₅₁, J_{51.5}, = 11.4 Hz), 1.77 (d. H₅₁,), 3.0–3.13 (m., 3 H), 3.33–3.5 (m., 1 H), 3.6–3.75 (m., 1 H), 5.29 (s., H₁₂); ¹³C NMR & 39.1; 42.9; 43.95; 53.7; 58.5, 61.6, 66.6, 73.1, 79.5, 85.0, 99.0, 113.6, 142.4. The half-cage ketone⁶ 14 (0.4 g) was subsequently eluted with CCl₄-benzene (4: 1), and finally benzene eluted 17 (0.6 g), m.p. 260–263° (dec). (Found: C, 45.1; H, 2.29. Calc. for C₂₀H₁₂Cl₆O₄: C, 45.4; H, 2.27%); IR 1760, 1740. 1600 cm⁻¹; NMR & 1.67 (ddd, H₁₂₆, J₁₂₆₋₁₂₆ = 11.4 Hz, J_{4-5,126} = 2 Hz, J_{3,126} = 1 Hz), 2.52 (ddd, H₁₂₆, J_{3,126} = 2 Hz, J_{2-7,126} = 1 Hz), 2.90 (ddd, H₃ and H₆, J_{2,3} = 2 Hz), 3.26 (dd, H₂ and H₇); 4.19 (d, H₄ and H₅), 7.4–7.8 (m, 4 H, ArH).

Repetition of the reaction on the same scale but using benzene in place of CCl₄ led to the recovery of isodrin (2.9 g), half-cage ketone 14 (0.15 g), and 17 (0.15 g). Replacement of CCl₄ by

bromotrichloromethane provided 15 (1.0 g), half-cage ketone 14 (0.45 g) and 17 (0.3 g).

REFERENCES

¹A. Richardson, M. K. Baldwin and J. Robinson, J. Sci. Food Agric. 19, 524 (1968); M. K. Baldwin, J. Robinson and R. A. G. Carrington, Chem. & Ind. 595 (1970); M. K. Baldwin, J. Robinson and D. V. Parke, Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 10, 333 (1972); V. J. Feil, R. D. Hedde, R. G. Zaylskie and C. H. Zachrison, J. Agric. Food Chem. 18, 120 (1970); A. Richardson and J. Robinson, Xenobiotica 1, 213 (1971).

²M. K. Baldwin, J. Robinson and D. V. Parke, J. Agric. Food Chem. 18, 1117 (1970).

³C. T. Bedford and R. K. Harrod, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 735 (1972); Chemosphere 2, 163 (1973).

⁴F. D. Greene and W. W. Rees, J. Am. Chem. Soc. \$2, 890 (1960).

⁵D. I. Davies, J. Chem. Soc. 3669 (1960).

C. W. Bird, R. C. Cookson and E. Crundwell, *Ibid.* 4809 (1961).

7S. B. Soloway, A. M. Damiana, J. W. Sims, H. Bluestone and R. E. Lidov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. \$2, 5377 (1960).

⁸V. A. Rolleri, Diss. Abs. 19, 960 (1958).

⁹C. W. Bird and R. Khan, Tetrahedron Letters 2813 (1976).

¹⁰L. Kaplan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 4566 (1967).

¹¹R. J. Stedman, A. C. Swift and J. R. E. Hoover, Tetrahedron Letters 2525 (1965).

¹²K. E. Russell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77, 4814 (1955).

¹³J. D. Rosen and W. F. Carey, J. Agr. Food Chem. 16, 536 (1968).